

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Introduction

Claims 1-22, 30-79 and 81-87 are now being examined in this application in which claims 23-29 have been withdrawn, claims 1, 18-20, 30, 33, 34, 37, 43, 45 and 65 have been amended and claims 80 and 87 have been canceled to respond to the Examiner's objections on page 4 of the Office Action. It is respectfully submitted that the pending claims define allowable subject matter.

Double Patenting

Regarding the Examiner's nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 80 and 87. Applicants do not acquiesce in the Examiner's determinations of double patenting or the reasoning provided by the Examiner with respect to specific claims; however, in order to expedite prosecution of the present application, Applicants have canceled claims 80 and 87 without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter recited therein.

Amendments to Specification

The Specification has been amended to correct clerical errors therein. No new matter has been added to the Specification.

Amendments to Drawings

Applicants respectfully request approval of the following drawing corrections. With regard to Fig. 4, the words "ARP SENSOR ID TO" have been deleted from block 106 in accordance with the description at page 17, second full paragraph, of the Specification. With regard to Figs. 5 and 13A, clerical errors as noted by the Examiner have been corrected.

Regarding the Examiner's objection to the drawings relating to claim 67 (page 3, para. 5 of the Office Action), Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner's attention to pages 36-37 of the Specification. Page 37 lines 9-14 paragraph [00139] of the Specification states, "The virtual reality system, in one example, places the user in a three-dimensional representation of the facility", and that "portable device 40", may be used to present the virtual facility to a user. Portable device 40 is depicted in Fig. 1. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that no amendment is necessary and request that the objection be withdrawn.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.121 Applicants hereby submit an “Annotated Sheet” and “Replacement Sheet” for each of Figures 4, 5 and 13A showing the requested changes.

No new matter has been added.

Claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112


Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Applicants do not acquiesce in the Examiner’s rejection, however, in order to expedite prosecution of the present application Applicants have amended claims 18-20 so that the claims no longer require the system to indicate than an asset needs to be recertified. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the rejection.

Final Remarks

For at least these reasons, Applicants submit that claims 1-22, 30-79 and 81-87 are in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. The Examiner is asked to call Applicant’s attorney, Christine E.M. Orich at (317) 684-5414 to address any outstanding issues in order to expedite the prosecution of this application for all parties.

If necessary, Applicants request that this Amendment be considered a request for an extension of time for a time appropriate for the response to be timely filed. Applicants request that any required fees needed beyond those submitted with this Amendment be charged to the account of Bose McKinney & Evans LLP, Deposit Account Number 02-3223.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Christine E.M. Orich', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Christine E.M. Orich
Registration No. 44,987

Indianapolis, Indiana
(317) 684-5414




FIG. 4




FIG. 5

